




SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd	M/S: Hope Enterprises FSD	M/S: Irshad-ul-haq Enterprises FSD	M/S: Friends Enterprises, Fsd	M/S: M.S Enterprises & Co. FSD	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq FSD	REMARKS
1.	Financial Position / Status	15							
	i. Income Tax registration certificate	(03)	03	03	03	03	03	03	
	ii. Sales tax registration certificate	(03)	03	03	03	03	03	03	
	iii. Professional Tax Certificate	(03)	03	03	03	03	00	00	
	iv. Bank Statement worth (min 03 million)	(03)	00	00	03	03	00	00	
	v. Tax Return for last Year	(03)	00	03	03	03	03	03	
			09	12	15	15	09	09	
2.	Firm's Experience In The Relevant Field	15							
	i. 1-3 years experience	(09)							
	ii. 4-8 years experience	(12)	09	09	09	Not Attached	09	09	
	iii. 9-15 years & above experience	(15)							
3.	Overall past performance of the firm/company	15							
	a) very good	15							
	b) good	12	12	12	12	Not Attached	10	12	
	c) satisfactory	10							
	d) No past experience with this institution but firm has experience with other Govt. Institutions	09							
	e) Unsatisfactory/ Poor	(00)							
4.	Technical Staff	05							
	i. 01 or more employees/owners holding bachelor degree or above.	(05)	05	05	05	05	05	05	
	Qualifying points 60% of total	Total Points = 50	35	38	41	20	33	35	


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabbir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

1.	Acid	Full strength/ Concentrate, sulfuric Acid/ HCL
----	------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (Local)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local Pakistan)	REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18	25	16	18	16	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	14	16	14	14	14	
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	32	41	30	32	30	

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

2.		Air Freshner			Best Quality, 300ml Air wick or equivalent					
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Delite Local Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Airwick Imported)			REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)								KEPT PENDING
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)								
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30								

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

3.	Air Freshner Reloaded Cylinder	260/300ml, Air wick or equivalent
----	--------------------------------	-----------------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Super Storm Imported Turkey)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Airwick Imported)			REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)								KEPT PENDING
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)								
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30								

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

8

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)

11

Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)

12

Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

4.	Air Freshner Device	Ari wick or equivalent, wall mounted automatic with battery cell
----	---------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Imported Malaysia)	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Airwick Imported)		REMARKS
1.	<u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)							KEPT PENDING
2.	<u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)							
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30							

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)




Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02

5.		Broom Sarkanda				Best quality				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)					REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18	25	16					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)	14	16	14					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	32	41	30					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

6.	Basket For waste of Papers	Plastic body, best quality, 12 inch dia 15 inch height
----	----------------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)					REMARKS	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.						
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	14								
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	32								

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

7.		Battery for Mattel Detector				Best quality, 12V/3.5					
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S : Hope Enterprises (Power Plus)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Tohisba)				REMARKS	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14							
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		32							

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-2023**

Technical Evaluation of products

GENERAL STORE ITEMS**STAGE: 02**

8.	Bottle for Liquid Soap	with lockable iron/ metal stand wall hanging, 1 liter best quality 16/18 Gauge
----	------------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)					REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)								KEPT PENDING
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)								
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30								

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

TENDERS 2022-2023

Technical Evaluation of products

GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

9.	Cell for Laryngoscope	Kodac, Energizer or equivalent ,Heavy Duty, Imported DSLR Camera Flush Type long life AA with charger, Chargeable minimum life continuous use 24 / 7 days
----	-----------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Energizer Imported Japan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Tosibha)	REMARKS
1.	<u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	
2.	<u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14				
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		32				

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02


10.	Pencil cell	AAA, Toshiba equivalent, BQ, Imported
-----	-------------	---------------------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Toshiba Imported Japan)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (Toshiba)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Toshiba)				REMARKS		
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.						
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)										
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30										

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02

11.		Torch cell AA			AA, BQ, Toshiba equivalent, Imported						
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Toshiba Imported Japan)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (Tohsiba)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Tosibha)				REMARKS	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14							
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		32							

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Afi Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02

12.		Cuff for B.P Appratus with Bladder				Best Quality Adult+ PeadsSize				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (China)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)				REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18				Provisionally accepted - Provide after samples.
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		16		14				
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		34		32				

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)




Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02

13.		Door Closer				Heavy Duty					
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Irshaq-ul-Haq				REMARKS	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample provided Hence rejected.	18					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)				14					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30				32					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02


14.	Electrict Heater fan Blower	Releating Hight adustable,3000 watt,Metal Frame Minimum Gaurantee 01 year after sale with parts, ANEX or Equivelant
-----	-----------------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (West point Imported USA)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (China)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)		REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.		
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	14						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	39						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

15.	Electric Kettle	Imported ,2 L capacity ,2000 watt to3000 watt, 01 year after sale with parts,
-----	-----------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Panasonic Imported China)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (China)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)				REMARKS	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)				14					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30				32					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Ultra Max Local Pakistan)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (Local)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Toyonaic Pakistan)				REMARKS
16.	Glass for water			HD, Best Quality, 06 pcs/Set						
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.				
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		39						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

17.	Electric heater 2 Rod halogen large 2000/3000 W	Reflecting, Hellogen Rod Metal Height Adjustable Pedestal Fan Type, Minimum 01 year warrenty after sale with parts, ANEX or Equivelant
-----	---	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Anex local Pakistan)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported China)				REMARKS		
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.						
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)										
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30										

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

18.		Liquid Soap	Good Fragrance, Well Known Brand						
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Delite Local Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Friends Enterprises FSD	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. FSD	REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	25	16	16	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14	16	14	14		
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		32	41	30	30		

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-2023**

Technical Evaluation of products

GENERAL STORE ITEMS**STAGE: 02**

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Friends Enterprises (Hiclean ATCO Laboratories Ltd PAKistan)	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (King Pakistan)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Mortein)			REMARKS
19.	Mosquito Repellant Device for Liquid Mortien or Equivalent									Good Fragrance, Well Known Brand
i.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)								KEPT PENDING
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)								
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30								

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

20.	Mosquito Repellant Liquid for electric device 60 ml	Good Fragrance, Well Known Brand
-----	---	----------------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (King Pakistan)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Mortein)		REMARKS
1.	<u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)					KEPT PENDING	
2.	<u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

21.	Mat 3 x 4" feet ½ "Inches thin web with zigzag plastic wire	¼ "x 3ft x4ft Good Nylon
-----	---	--------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. Fsd				REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.				
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)			16					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30			41					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

22.	Mosquito Insect Killer Spray 600 ml	Good Fragrance, Well Known Brand, Mortein or Equivalent
-----	-------------------------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (King Pakistan)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Mortein)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.				REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)								KEPT PENDING
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)								
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30								

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

23.		Needle Cutter				HD, Best Quality				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Fine Pakistan)	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Heavy Duty Pakistan)			REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18			
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14	16		14			
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		32	41		32			

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

24.		O2 Fitting Gauge			HD, Best Quality				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Imported China)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)		REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18		
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14	16		14		
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		32	41		32		

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.		REMARKS
25.	Polythene Bags Small White 18x24"			Friendly Environment Local, Oderless					
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.		
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	16						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	41						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

26.	Polythene Bags large White 30x36"	Friendly Enviroment Local,Oderless
-----	-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.			REMARKS			
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.						
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	16										
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	41										

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-2023****Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS****STAGE: 02**

27.

Polythene Bags large yellow 30x36"

Friendly Environment Local, Oderless

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.			REMARKS		
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)	16									
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	41									

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

TENDERS 2022-2023

Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

28.	Polythene Bags large red 30x36"	Friendly Enviroment Local,Oderless
-----	---------------------------------	------------------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co,			REMARKS			
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.						
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year 's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	16										
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	41										

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

TENDERS 2022-2023

Technical Evaluation of products

GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

29.	Polythene Bags Small Red 18x24"				Friendly Enviroment Local,Oderless							
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.		REMARKS			
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year 's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	16									
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	41									

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Afi Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

30.	Polythene Bag Yellow Small 18x24"	Friendly Enviroment Local,Oderless
-----	-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.			REMARKS			
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.						
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	16										
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	41										

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

TENDERS 2022-2023

Technical Evaluation of products

GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

31.		Bath Soap local 110/120 gram				Local Good Fragrance						
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.			REMARKS		
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected.	Low Quality Sample Provided Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	16									
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	41									

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02


32.	Spray Oil Insecticide 3.785 Litr	Local Good Fragrance
-----	----------------------------------	----------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Typhon)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co,					REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		16						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		41						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Typhon)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.					REMARKS
33.	Phenyl Liquid 3 Ltr			Local Good Fragrance						
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		16						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		41						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02

34.		Thermometer Clinical			Local, Best Quality, Murcery type standard					
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Imported China)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)			REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18			
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)		14	16		14			
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		32	41		32			

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

35.		Tissue Roll				Local, Best Quality			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Super Soft Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Tufip)		REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18		
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14	16		14		
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		32	41		32		

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-2023**

Technical Evaluation of products

GENERAL STORE ITEMS**STAGE: 02**


SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Rose Patel Pakistan)	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Tulip)			REMARKS
	36.		Tissue Box			02 ply x 150 Rose Petal / Tux				
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25			
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)			16		16			
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30			41		41			

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.


ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02


37.	Torch Medium Chargeable	Best Quality, Minimum 01 year after sale with parts,
-----	-------------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Imported China)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)		REMARKS	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25			
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)					16			
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30					41			

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

38.	Toilet Cleaner Liquid Harpic				Local Good Fragrance				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (King Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Harpic)		REMARKS
1.	<u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.		
2.	<u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14	16				
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		32	41				

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02


39.	Vim	Vim, 900 gm/pack
-----	-----	------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Unilever Pakistan)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.					REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		16						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		41						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

40.	Polythene bag for Pharmacy				Local H/D				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.		REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.		
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year 's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14		16			
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		32		41			

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

41.	Polythene Bag Zipper Small For pharmacy	H/D Best Quality
-----	---	------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.			REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	25	18	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.			
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14	16	14				
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		32	41	32				

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

42.	Polythene Bag Zipper Medium For pharmacy	H/D Best Quality
-----	--	------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Ilaq Enterprises (Local)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.			REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u>	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	25	18	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.			
		(30)								
		(25)								
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u>		14	16	14				
		(14)								
		(16)								
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		32	41	32				

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

43.	Laryngo scope Fiber Optic	Imported, with 000,00,0,1 blade minimum warrenty after sale 02 year with parts
-----	---------------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)				REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25				
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14		16				
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		32		41				

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-2023**


Technical Evaluation of products


GENERAL STORE ITEMS**STAGE: 02**


44.	Glucometer	Imported minimum warrenty after sale 02 year with parts
-----	------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)					REMARKS		
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.							
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)										
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30										

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02


45.	Surgical Drum	Stainless steel, Non Magnetic 10x12", 12x12", 15x15" HD, for Autoclave minimum warrenty after sale 02 year with parts and rust
-----	---------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)				REMARKS	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)		16		14					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		41		32					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02


46.	Kidney Tray	Stainless steel 12x9", Non Magnetic HD minimum warrenty after sale 02 year with parts and rust
-----	-------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)				REMARKS	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)		16		14					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		41		32					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02


47.	Medicine Tray	Stainless steel, non magnetic, 1.5x2.5" minimum warrenty after sale 02 year with parts and rust
-----	---------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)				REMARKS	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)		16		14					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		41		32					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02


48.	Weight Machine height scale Adult	Imported, 02 year after sale with parts, Broucher should be attached with technical Bid
-----	-----------------------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)					REMARKS	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.						
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)									
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30									

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-2023**

Technical Evaluation of products

GENERAL STORE ITEMS**STAGE: 02**


49.	Electri Weight scale for goods	Minimum 200 kg, Weight bearing Standing Type,Weight Container 2x2 ft Minimum HD,Digital minimum warrenty after sale 02 year with parts
-----	--------------------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)					REMARKS		
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.							
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)										
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30										

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

50.	Nebulizer Machine Routine Use	Imported Routine Use ,Motor base minimum warrenty after sale 02 year with parts
-----	-------------------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Medicare Plus Imported China)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)			16			
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30			41			

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02


51.	X Ray Illuminator 2 Film	Led,Acrylic, Imported, minimum warrenty after sale 02 year with parts
-----	--------------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)				REMARKS	
1.	<u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	<u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		16							
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		41							

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02


52.	Hand Sanitizer Liquid	Alcohol Gel Base 500ml /01Ltr. Pack as per sample. With Dispenser Bottle Fiber Or Plastic Type
-----	-----------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Friends Enterprises (Alcohol Gel Base 1 Ltr Zestize Maple Pharmaceuticals Pvt Ltd Pakistan)	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Oasis Local Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Concept Pakistan)	REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	25	18	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	18	
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)		14	16	14		14	
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		32	41	32		32	

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02


53.	Cup With Saucer for Tea	Pack of 06, Heavy duty
-----	-------------------------	------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Imported China)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (China Set)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)				REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.				
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		16						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		41						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Afi Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Pcds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-2023**

Technical Evaluation of products

GENERAL STORE ITEMS**STAGE: 02**

54.		Bleach Domex				Best Quality 01 liter/ pack				
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Super Local Pakistan)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/SL: Zahid Khan & Co.				REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.				
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)		16						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		41						

NOTE: i) The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
ii) If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02


55.	Battery 180 Amp	Osaka, AGS or Equivalent for Generators, 01 year after sale with parts,
-----	-----------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Osaka)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.					REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	 25	 25	 25					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	 16	 20	 14					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	41	45	39					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02


56.	Face Mask Disposable	3 Layer, 65/70gsm Nose pin
-----	----------------------	----------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)			REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25			
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		16	14		14			
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		41	39		39			

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-2023**Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS**STAGE: 02**

57.	Pulse Oximeter	Single Finger Probe Portable Routine use minimum warrenty after sale 02 year with parts
-----	----------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Yonker Imported Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported China)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.			REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.			
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)				14				
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30				39				

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

58.	Scissors	Stainless steel 5 7/6" for Nursing & Dispensing, Non magnetic
-----	----------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.				REMARKS	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14							
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		39							

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

59.	Floor Cleaner Solution	01ltr pack fragrance good
-----	------------------------	---------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Delite Local Pakistan)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)					REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		39						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

60.	Yellow Safety Box	05 liter. Disposable
-----	-------------------	----------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)			REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25			
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		16	14		16			
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		41	39		41			

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

61.	Tray Large Melamine	1.5x2 good quality
-----	---------------------	--------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.				REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.				
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		16						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		41						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

62.	ID Bracelet	Nylon Hand Baby Watch Type Pink & Blue
-----	-------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Medicare Imported)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.			REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	25	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.			
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)		16	14	16				
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		41	39	41				

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02


63.	Wall Thermometer	for room Temperature Digital minimum warrenty after sale 02 year with parts
-----	------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.				REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.				
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)		14	16					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		39	41					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

64.	Mop with stand	Iron handle, iron rod L= 6 ft., dia 1" metal blade 2x4, as per sample
-----	----------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co. (Local)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Local)					REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)			14					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30			39					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02


66.	Electric Rod for X Ray Dark room	1000 watt, minimum warrenty after sale 01 year with parts
-----	----------------------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.					REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	 25	 25	 25					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	 14	 16	 14					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	39	41	39					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02


67.	Dignity Sheet	900x600 mm, Best Quality,
-----	---------------	---------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Friends Enterprises (Classic Linyi 2H Healthcare Product China)	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Master Imported China)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)	M/S: Zahid khan & Co.	REMARKS
1.	<u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	
2.	<u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14	16		16		
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		39	41		41		

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

68.	LED Light	2x2 false ceiling Style 80 watt and above minimum warrenty after sale 01 year with parts
-----	-----------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Style Pakistan)	M/S: Zahid kham & Co.					REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	25	25	25					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	14	16	14					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	39	41	39					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

69.	Ripple Mattress	Molty Foam,air motor mattress ,72x42x6” minimum warrenty after sale 03 year with parts
-----	-----------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Molty Pakistan)	M/S:Zahid khan & Co.				REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	25	25	25	25				
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	14	16	14	14				
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	39	41	39	39				

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02


70.	Energy Saver 80/85 Watt	80/85 watt,screw type with holder,Toshiba ,Orient,Osaka, minimum warrenty after sale 01 year with parts
-----	-------------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Osaka Pakistan)	M/S:Zahid khan & Co.					REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	25	25	25					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	16	14	14					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	41	39	39					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

71.	Dinning Plates Small	Best Quality Melamine
-----	----------------------	-----------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.				REMARKS
1.	<u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.				
2.	<u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		39						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02


72.	Dinning Plates Large	Best Quality, Melamine
-----	----------------------	------------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.				REMARKS	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14							
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		39							

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02


73.	Table Spoon	Stainless Steel B/Q
-----	-------------	---------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Local Pakistan)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.					REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		39						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02


74.	Oxygen Mask (Neonate+Peads+Adult Size)	Best Quality Imported
-----	--	-----------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises			REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u> (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	25			
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u> (14) (16) (20)		14		16	14			
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		39		41	39			

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises		REMARKS
75.	Nebulizer Kit (Neonate+Peads+Adult Size)			Best Quality Imported					
1.	<u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	30		
2.	<u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		16		14	14		
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		41		39	44		

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02


76.	Nasal Prong (Neonate + Peads Size)	Best Quality Imported
-----	------------------------------------	-----------------------

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Medicare Imported China)	M/S : Hope Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)			REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	<u>30</u>	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	30	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25			
		(30)								
		(25)								
		(18)								
		(16)								
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<u>20</u>	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	14	14	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	14			
(14)										
(16)										
		(20)								
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		39	44		39			

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

77.	Cell for Glucometer Device	3 V. 20mmx3mm Dia.Round Shape.Lithium Battery
-----	----------------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S:Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Kodak Imported China)	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq (Imported)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.				REMARKS	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	30	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14	14						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		39	44						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ahi Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Paeds Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-2023**

Technical Evaluation of products

GENERAL STORE ITEMS**STAGE: 02**

78.	Cell for Office Bell Remote Device	12 V, 23 amp. Alkaline Battery 28mmx10mm
-----	------------------------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Irshad-ul-Haq Enterprises (Camellion Imported)	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq, FSD				REMARKS	
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	25	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.	No Sample Provided for Evaluation Hence Rejected.					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)		14							
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30		39							

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

79.	UPS	2400 Watt.with 02 dry battery Compatible Comp.set with control digital meter display.with 02 year warrenty sale service and parts
-----	-----	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.						REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	25	30						
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	14	14						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	39	44						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

8

Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02


80.	Security Light	400 watt,hallogen flash light,matal reflecting MS Made, fix with pole,pole height=15-18ft,pole dia 6",16 SWG,3 mm Min., complete installation,up and down adjustable, Broucher Should be Attached with technical Bid 03year warrenty with parts and Service after sale
-----	----------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq, FSD	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.					REMARKS
1.	<u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	25	30	25					
2.	<u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	14	14	14					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	39	44	39					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

TENDERS 2022-2023


Technical Evaluation of products GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02


.81.	Walk through Metal Detected Gate	Imported.18Independent Distinct zones 06 horizontal & 3 vertical.pinpoint detection display on header(left.center and right) Triple display 1 st display on header and 2 nd display on front side of the both panels & 3 rd display on back side of the both panels.Triple traffic counter(In counter.out counter & Alarm Counter.traffic pacing stop and go symbols.operating teperature -0 C° to 65 C° degree centigrade.power supply fully automated(100-240 VAC) HAGC System to ensure uniform detection. Sensitivity level -99 to +99 .2-4 hours battery backup IR sensor for out side interference protection .password protection FOR Un authorized access LED display on the Header panel As well as on the side panel Integrated floor mounting Boots archway inside 75 cm wide. 200 cm hight.50 cm depth.overall out side 87 cm wide.220 cm hight.50 cm depth.Broucher Should be Attached with technical Bid with 03year warrenty with parts and Service after sale
------	----------------------------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.						REMARKS
1.	<u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)								KEPT PENDING
2.	<u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)								
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30								

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT**TENDERS 2022-2023**

Technical Evaluation of products

GENERAL STORE ITEMS**STAGE: 02**

82.	Metal Detector	Chargeable battery,3.5 V,Imported, vroucher Should be Attached with technical Bid with 03year warrenty with parts and Service after sale
-----	----------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Mian Tayyab Ishaq, FSD	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.					REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	 30	 25	 30					
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	 14	 14	 14					
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	44	39	44					

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
Deputy Nursing Superintendent
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
Statistical Officer
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)


BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS


STAGE: 02


83.	Walki Talki Set	Motorolla or Equivelant, Minimum range 1000 mtr.frequency match with each other sets Broucher Should be Attached with technical Bid with 03year warrenty with parts and Service after sale
-----	-----------------	---

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.						REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)								KEPT PENDING
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)								
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30								

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.


Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Member)


Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
 (Chairman)

BID EVALUATION REPORT
TENDERS 2022-2023
 Technical Evaluation of products
GENERAL STORE ITEMS

STAGE: 02

84.	Bottom View Mirror With stand/Rod	1.5x1.5 ft.mirror framed attached on ,18 SWG,1.5" Dia,5 ft length Metal Rod,with Grip
-----	-----------------------------------	--

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: M.S Enterprises	M/S: Zahid Khan & Co.						REMARKS
1.	Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product) i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not complies to required specification (Technically rejected)	30 (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	25	30						
2.	Experience of the product in the market i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	20 (14) (16) (20)	14	14						
	Total points = 50	Qualifying points = 30	39	44						

NOTE: i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Mrs. Shama Nadeem
 Deputy Nursing Superintendent
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Mr. Abid Ali Shabir
 Statistical Officer
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Member)



Dr. Ahmad Umair Virk
 Senior Registrar Peads Neurology Department
 Children Hospital Faisalabad
(Chairman)